# **Rabi-like oscillations of an anharmonic oscillator: Classical versus quantum interpretation**

J. Claudon, <sup>1,2</sup> A. Zazunov, <sup>3</sup> F. W. J. Hekking, <sup>3</sup> and O. Buisson<sup>1</sup>

1 *Institut Néel, CNRS, Université Joseph Fourier, BP 166, 38042 Grenoble-Cedex 9, France*

2 *CEA-CNRS Joint Group "Nanophysique et Semiconducteurs," CEA, Inac, SP2M, F-38054 Grenoble, France*

<sup>3</sup>*LPMMC, CNRS, Université Joseph Fourier, BP 166, 38042 Grenoble-Cedex 9, France*

(Received 10 July 2008; revised manuscript received 10 September 2008; published 3 November 2008)

We have observed Rabi-like oscillations in a current-biased dc superconducting quantum interference device presenting enhanced coherence times compared to our previous realization J. Claudon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 187003 (2004)]. This Josephson device behaves as an anharmonic oscillator which can be driven into a coherent superposition of quantum states by resonant microwave flux pulses. Increasing the microwave amplitude, we study the evolution of the Rabi frequency from the two-level regime to the regime of multilevel dynamics. When up to three levels are involved, the Rabi frequency is a clear signature of a quantum behavior. At higher excitation amplitude, classical and quantum predictions for the Rabi frequency converge. This result is discussed in the light of a calculation of the Wigner function. In particular, our analysis shows that pronounced quantum interferences always appear in the course of the Rabi-like oscillations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.78.184503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.184503)

PACS number(s): 74.50.+r, 85.25.Dq, 85.25.Cp

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

It is well known that the classical dynamics of an anharmonic oscillator driven by an external monochromatic force can exhibit very complex and rich behavior such as nonlinear resonances, bistability oscillations, or chaotic dynamics. $1-4$  $1-4$ The search for a quantum signature in the quantum counter-part of this problem has triggered a lot of interest.<sup>5[–9](#page-7-3)</sup> Ideally, these investigations require a well defined system that can be tuned all the way from the classical to the quantum limit.

In this perspective, Josephson phase circuits are appealing experimental systems. Their phase dynamics mimics the one of a fictitious particle oscillating in a washboardlike potential well, with associated discrete energy levels. The system anharmonicity is tuned through external bias parameters, such as current or magnetic flux. In a two-level system with a sufficiently long coherence time, Rabi oscillations appear during the transient following the switching on of the microwave driving field.<sup>10</sup> A multilevel system also supports similar (Rabi-like) oscillations.<sup>11</sup> In this regime, the energy granularity is still strong as compared to the mean energy. Thus the multilevel dynamics was first fully described using a quantum approach. Later, Gronbech-Jensen and co-workers reproduced these oscillations using a purely classical theory[.12](#page-7-6) Moreover, it was shown that multilevel Rabi-like oscillations (RLOs) were less sensitive to thermal spoiling than their two-level counterpart.<sup>13</sup> These results raise the intriguing question addressed in the present paper: are Rabilike oscillations an unambiguous proof of quantum behavior? Besides this fundamental motivation, a fine understanding of the multilevel regime is also of interest for the accurate control of phase qubits and has motivated recent theoretical $14-16$ and experimental works[.17](#page-7-10)[,18](#page-7-11)

Very recently, it was proposed to analyze the resonance line shape under strong driving to track classical and quantum signatures.<sup>19</sup> In this paper, we adopt a complementary time domain approach. We report the measurement of Rabilike oscillations in a dc superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) circuit for various  $\mu w$  amplitudes. Improved coherence times with respect to previous  $experiments<sup>11</sup>$  enable us to explore the crossover from twolevel to multilevel dynamics in detail. When up to three levels are involved in the oscillation, the experimental Rabi frequency versus the excitation amplitude exhibits a clear quantum behavior, which can definitely not be explained by a classical approach. However, when more than four levels participate in the oscillation, the classical and quantum predictions converge. We discuss this issue in the light of Wigner function calculations, showing in particular that when the system energy reaches its maximum value, quantum interference effects are strong, even in the case of a high power excitation.

### **II. THE dc SQUID AND ITS OPERATION**

The anharmonic oscillator under consideration is a current-biased dc SQUID, which consists of two identical Josephson junctions (JJs), each with a critical current  $I_0$  and a capacitance  $C_0$ . The junctions are embedded in a superconducting loop of total inductance  $L_s$ , threaded by a flux  $\Phi_b$ . As discussed in Ref. [20,](#page-7-13) the phase dynamics of the SQUID can be treated as that of a fictitious particle having a mass *m*  $= 2C_0(\Phi_0/2\pi)^2$  moving in a one-dimensional cubic potential  $(\Phi_0 = h/2e)$  is the superconducting flux quantum). The potential is completely characterized by the frequency  $\nu_p$  of the bottom of the well and a barrier height  $\Delta U$  (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). These two quantities depend on the magnetic flux and vanish at the SQUID's critical current  $I_c$ . For bias currents  $I_b < I_c$ , the particle is trapped in the anharmonic potential well. In the presence of an applied driving magnetic flux, the corresponding Hamiltonian reads

$$
\hat{H}_0(t) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_p^2 \hat{x}^2 - a\hat{x}^3 + f_{\mu w}\cos(2\pi\nu t)\hat{x}.
$$
 (1)

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Here,  $\omega_p = 2\pi \nu_p$ ,  $\hat{x}$  is the phase along the escape direction, and  $\hat{p}$  is the conjugate momentum associated with the charge on the junction capacitance,  $\hat{Q} = (2e/\hbar)\hat{p}$ . The cubic coefficient is given by

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Principle of the SQUID operation. (a) Schematic of the electrical circuit. (b) Digital sampling oscilloscope record of the high-frequency flux signal applied on the superconducting loop: the  $\mu$ *w* excitation pulse is followed by a measuring dc pulse which adiabatically reduces the barrier height and induces a selective tunnel escape of excited states.

$$
a^2 = \frac{(m\omega_p^2)^3}{54\Delta U}.
$$
 (2)

The  $\mu w$  flux acts as an oscillating force with a strength  $f_{\mu w}$ and a frequency  $\nu$ . Starting from Eq. ([1](#page-0-0)), the SQUID dynamics can be treated either classically or with quantum mechanics. In a quantum description and in the absence of driving flux signal, the quantized vibration states within the potential well are denoted as  $|n\rangle$ , corresponding to energy levels  $E_n$ with  $n=0,1,...$  The frequency associated to the  $|n\rangle \rightarrow |k\rangle$ transition is denoted as  $v_{nk}$ . The parameter  $\delta = v_{01} - v_{12}$  fully characterizes the system anharmonicity. At second order in a perturbative approach,

$$
\delta = \frac{15}{4\pi} \frac{\hbar a^2}{m^3 \omega_p^4} = \frac{5}{36} \frac{h \nu_p}{\Delta U} \nu_p,
$$
 (3)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>the detuning between the transition  $|n-1\rangle \rightarrow |n\rangle$  and the fundamental one  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$  scales as  $\nu_{01} - \nu_{n-1,n} = \delta \times (n-1)$ . In the following, for improved precision in the data analysis, the eigenenergies  $E_n$  of the system were determined numerically.

Our procedure to perform experiments consists in the repetition of an elementary sequence, which is decomposed into four successive steps. First, a bias current  $I<sub>b</sub>$  is adiabatically switched on through the SQUID at fixed magnetic flux  $\Phi_b$ . The working point  $(I_b, \Phi_b)$  defines the geometry of the potential well and the circuit is initially in the ground state. A fast composite flux signal, presented in Fig.  $1(b)$  $1(b)$ , is then applied. The  $\mu w$  excitation pulse is followed by a dc flux pulse which brings the system to the measuring point within a few nanoseconds. This flux pulse reduces adiabatically the barrier height  $\Delta U$  and allows the escape of the localized states to finite voltage states. Adjusting precisely the amplitude and duration of the dc flux pulse, it is theoretically possible to induce a selective escape of excited states. Because the SQUID is hysteretic, the zero and finite voltage states are stable and the result of the measurement can be read out by monitoring the voltage  $V_s$  across the dc SQUID. Our measurement procedure destroys the superconducting state and  $I<sub>b</sub>$  has to be switched off to reset the circuit.

Though adiabatic, our measurement is one of the fastest implemented in Josephson qubits. The delay between the end of  $\mu w$  and the measurement (top of the dc pulse) is less than 3 ns. The measurement time, corresponding to the top of the pulse, is 4 ns. The measurement speed is crucial to efficiently detect fast relaxing states. This is especially true for a multilevel system since the relaxation rate of the excited state  $|n\rangle$ scales as *n*. Detection in the multilevel regime has been characterized in Ref. [21](#page-7-14) for settings identical to those used in this paper. For states described by the set of occupancies  $\{p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ , the escape probability  $P_e$  out of the potential well reads  $P_e = 0.03 + 0.54p_1 + 0.79p_2 + 0.90p_3$ .

The SQUID studied in this paper consists in two large JJs of 15  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> area (*I*<sub>0</sub>=1.242  $\mu$ A and *C*<sub>0</sub>=0.56 pF) enclosing a 350  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> area superconducting loop. The two SQUID branches of inductances  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  contribute to the total loop inductance  $L_s = 280$  pH with the asymmetry parameter  $\eta$  $=(L_1 - L_2)/L_s = 0.414$ . As in our previous work, these parameters were determined combining two independent sets of measurements: (i) macroscopic quantum tunneling of the ground state and (ii) spectroscopic study of the  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$ transition[.21](#page-7-14)

The chip is cooled down to 30 mK in a dilution fridge. The thermal energy is then small compared to the oscillation energy of the circuit  $h\nu_p \sim k_B \times 500$  mK. The highfrequency flux signal is guided by 50  $\Omega$  coax lines and attenuated at low temperature before reaching the SQUID through a mutual inductance. The nominal room-temperature  $\mu$ *w* amplitude is denoted in the following as  $V_{\mu\nu}$ . For details on the circuit fabrication and the experimental setup description, we refer to Ref. [21.](#page-7-14)

# **III. RABI-LIKE OSCILLATIONS**

In this section, we present experimental Rabi-like oscillations and their classical and quantum descriptions. Particularly, we focus on the dependence of the Rabi-like frequency on the microwave excitation amplitude; we examine when this particular measurement offers a clear signature of quantum behavior. The observability of beatings, predicted by the quantum approach, is also discussed in the end of the section.

#### **A. Experimental procedure**

Hereafter we present results obtained at the working point  $(I_b=2.222 \mu A, \Phi_b=-0.117\Phi_0)$ . The experimental low power spectroscopy measurements [Fig.  $2(a)$  $2(a)$ ] present a resonant peak centered at 8.283 GHz with a full width at half

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (a) Low power spectroscopy  $(V_{\mu\nu}=17.8 \text{ mV})$ . The fit to a Gaussian line shape gives the resonance position and the linewidth. (b) Energy relaxation as a function of the measurement delay  $T_m$ , fitted to an exponential law with the damping time  $T_1$ . (c) Rabilike oscillation observed in the transient regime for high power  $\mu$ *w* driving  $(V_{\mu\nu} = 631 \text{ mV})$ . The oscillation frequency and the damping time  $T_2^*$  are extracted from a fit to an exponentially damped sine function.

maximum  $\Delta \nu = 110$  MHz. The resonance is interpreted in a quantum description as the transition from  $|0\rangle$  to  $|1\rangle$  at the frequency  $v_{01}$ . Having the SQUID electrical parameters in hand, the characteristics of the potential well are calculated. The plasma frequency is  $v_p = 8.428$  GHz and the well contains eight energy levels for a total depth of  $\Delta U = h$  $\times$  61.6 GHz. Compared to Ref. [11,](#page-7-5) the present sample has lower decoherence  $(\Delta \nu = 110 \text{ MHz}$  instead of 180 MHz), and the chosen bias point displays higher anharmonicity  $(\delta = 160 \text{ MHz}$  instead of 100 MHz). This improvement allows for much more transition-selective coherent  $\mu w$  driving.

The transient nonlinear dynamics of the SQUID is probed using a fast  $\mu w$  flux pulse followed by a measuring dc pulse. The  $\mu w$  excitation is tuned on the resonance frequency obtained from low power spectroscopy and the  $\mu w$  pulse duration is increased from 2 to 80 ns. The measurement delay as well as the other measurement pulse settings remain unchanged. During the transient regime, RLOs are observed, as shown in Fig.  $2(c)$  $2(c)$ . From a fit of the first oscillations to a damped sine function, the RLO frequency  $\nu_R$  and the damping characteristic time  $T_2^*$  are extracted. It reaches here 25 ns, confirming the coherence improvement in this sample. The dependence of  $\nu_R$  with the  $\mu w$  amplitude is plotted in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) The error bar on  $\nu_R$  is smaller than the experimental point size, around 5 MHz. In our experiments, we have chosen to excite levels which do not leak to voltage states before the measurement pulse. This implies a limitation on the maximum microwave excitation amplitude. Experimentally, this was checked by performing an experiment without measurement pulse and by comparing the escape probability with and without microwaves.

#### **B. Classical interpretation**

To describe the observed Rabi-like oscillations, we first apply the classical approach developed in Ref. [12.](#page-7-6) Having turned on the resonant driving force, the particle energy oscillates during a transient regime. The underlying mechanism is related to the potential nonlinearity, which induces a dephasing between the particle oscillations and the  $\mu w$  excitation. It makes the  $\mu w$  alternatively accelerate and brake the particle oscillations within the well. In the general case,  $\nu_R$ depends on  $f_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $T_1$ , and the complete set of equations in Ref. [12](#page-7-6) must be solved. Here, the excitation was tuned on the resonance frequency obtained from low power spectroscopy, which corresponds to the bottom well frequency  $\nu_n$  in a classical approach. In the limit of small damping  $(\nu_R T_1)$  $\geq 1$ ) which corresponds to the experimental situation,  $\nu_R$  is then insensitive to  $T_1$  and coincides with the value calculated in the absence of dissipation. In the following analysis, we solved numerically the equation in Ref. [12](#page-7-6) under these two conditions. For the particular situation of small  $\mu w$  excitation  $(f_{\mu\nu}/a \ll 1)$ , an analytical expression can be derived,

$$
\nu_R = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \nu_p (1 + 2A^2)^{1/3} \left( \frac{f_{\mu\nu}}{m \omega_p^2} \right)^{2/3},\tag{4}
$$

where *A* is a dimensionless parameter given by

$$
A = \frac{6a}{m\omega_p^2} = \left[\frac{18}{27} \frac{m\omega_p^2}{\Delta U}\right]^{1/2}.
$$
 (5)

Figure  $3(a)$  $3(a)$  shows the fit of experimental data to the classical theory, the calibration coefficient  $c = f_{\mu\nu}/V_{\mu\nu}$  being the only free parameter of the model. For consistency, we should note that, in a classical description, the low power resonance presented in Fig.  $2(a)$  $2(a)$  is associated with the plasma frequency characterizing the bottom of the well. As spectroscopic data are used to extract the SQUID parameters, the classical analysis leads to slightly different electrical parameters:  $C_0 = 0.58$  pF,  $v_p = 8.283$  GHz, and  $\Delta U = 69.9h$  $\times$  GHz. In the weakly damped limit, the best adjustment which is obtained for  $c = 3.50 \times 10^{-22}$  J V<sup>-1</sup> does not describe correctly the data on the full range of excitation amplitudes. At low  $\mu w$  amplitude, the observed linear dependence is strongly different from the  $f_{\mu\nu}^{2/3}$  law predicted by the classical approach. However, the agreement improves for higher driving amplitude; this convergence will be discussed at the end of the paper.

As a remark, it is possible to fit the data if arbitrary high losses are introduced. Decreasing  $T_1$  down to 2.5 ns and using  $c = 2.90 \times 10^{-22}$  J V<sup>-1</sup>, one obtains a good agreement between the data and the classical theory [dashed line in Fig.  $3(a)$  $3(a)$ ]. However, this hypothesis is inconsistent with the measured  $T_1$ =60 ns [Fig. [2](#page-2-0)(b), experimental details in Ref. [22](#page-7-7))].

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Fit of the experimental  $\nu_R$  ( $\bullet$ ) to a (a) classical and (d) quantum theory. In the case of weakly damped Rabi oscillations,  $\nu_R$  is independent of the damping time and identical to the one of a lossless system, both in the quantum and classical cases. The classical model [solid line in (a)] fails to describe the low amplitude regime, unless excessive losses, incompatible with the measured  $T_1$ , are introduced [dashed line in (a)]. The quantum prediction [solid line in (d)] accurately describes the experiment over the whole excitation amplitude range. For  $v_1 > v_{01} - v_{02}$ , the curve deviates from the two-level theory [dashed line in (d)], indicating a multilevel dynamics. Simulated Rabi oscillation for a  $\mu w$  amplitude corresponding to the measurement shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0)(c) is also plotted under various hypothesis: classical calculation with (b)  $T_1$ =60 ns and (c)  $T_1$ =2.5 ns and quantum prediction (e) without and (f) with decoherence which suppresses beating.

It also would lead to overdamped Rabi-like oscillations, with a  $T_2^*$ =[3](#page-3-0) ns [Fig. 3(c)] which is one order of magnitude smaller than the measured one.

# **C. Quantum approach**

#### *1. Decoherence-free calculation*

The explanation of experimental data requires a full quantum treatment. Since moderate decoherence has a minor impact on the Rabi-like frequency, we first develop a pure Hamiltonian theory.<sup>11,[17](#page-7-10)[,23](#page-7-15)</sup>

The particle is assumed to lay initially in the ground state. At  $t=0$ , the  $\mu w$  flux is instantaneously switched on, and the particle quantum state  $|\Psi(t)\rangle$  evolves into a superposition of the level states  $|n\rangle$  according to the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian,

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\hat{H}(t) = h\nu_p \left[ \frac{\tilde{p}^2 + \tilde{x}^2}{2} - \left( \frac{2}{15} \frac{\delta}{\nu_p} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{x}^3 \right] + \sqrt{2} h\nu_1 \cos(2\pi\nu t) \tilde{x},\tag{6}
$$

where  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{p}$  are the reduced phase and momentum operators defined by

$$
\tilde{x} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_p}{\hbar}}\hat{x}, \quad \tilde{p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m\hbar\omega_p}}\hat{p}.
$$
 (7)

Expression ([6](#page-3-1)) contains all the characteristic frequencies of the problem: (i) the bottom well frequency  $\nu_p$ , (ii) the detuning  $\delta = \nu_{01} - \nu_{12}$  linked to the potential anharmonicity and

given by Eq. ([3](#page-1-1)), and (iii)  $v_1$ , the Rabi frequency in the two-level limit for a microwave excitation frequency  $v = v_{01}$ , which reads

$$
\nu_1 = \nu_p \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_p}{2\hbar}} \left(\frac{f_{\mu w}}{m\omega_p^2}\right).
$$
 (8)

To solve this time-dependent problem, we introduce another picture in which the evolution of the particle state  $|\Psi_{\circlearrowleft}(t)\rangle$  is driven by the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft}(t)$ . This picture is related to the Schrödinger one by

$$
\langle n|\Psi_{\circlearrowleft}(t)\rangle = e^{i2\pi n\nu t} \langle n|\Psi(t)\rangle. \tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>In the two-level limit, this transformation corresponds to the introduction of the well-known rotating frame at frequency  $\nu$ . To proceed, we apply the rotating wave approximation (RWA) to simplify the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft}(t)$ . Considering a potential well with *N* trapped energy levels, the resulting Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{o,RWA}}$  can be expressed in the  $\{|n\rangle\}$  basis as follows:

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 4. (Color online) First eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft, RWA}$  as a function of  $\nu_1$ . The dashed lines correspond to the limit  $\nu_1 / \delta \ll 1$ . The inversion of the spectrum is due to the rotating-frame transform.

$$
\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft, \text{RWA}} = h \begin{pmatrix}\n0 & \frac{\nu_1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\nu_1}{2} & \Delta_1(\nu) & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \sqrt{N-1} \frac{\nu_1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{N-1} \frac{\nu_1}{2} & \Delta_{N-1}(\nu)\n\end{pmatrix} .
$$
\n(10)

Here,  $\Delta_n(\nu) = \nu_{0n} - n\nu$  and the ground-state energy  $E_0$  has been set equal to 0. Note that  $\tilde{x}$  presents matrix elements of the order of  $\sqrt{\delta/\nu_p}$  on the diagonal and other which connect neighbor states of order 2. Other terms of higher order in  $\sqrt{\delta/\nu_p}$  are also present in the matrix. These terms vanish in the RWA, which remains valid as long as  $\nu_1 \ll \nu_{01}$ . In this quantum approach, the experimental low power resonance is attributed to the  $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$  transition; thus the following analysis is performed for  $\nu = \nu_{01}$ . The eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  associated to the eigenstates  $|e_{n\circ}\rangle$  of  $\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft, RWA}$  are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-4-0) Having solved the time evolution of  $|\Psi_{\circlearrowleft}(t)\rangle$  starting from the initial state  $|0_{\odot}\rangle=|0\rangle$ , the conversion to the Schrödinger picture is done according to Eq. ([9](#page-3-2)). Particularly, the probability to find the system in the state  $|n\rangle$  in the course of the oscillation reads for  $n>0$ 

*N*−1

$$
p_n(t) = 2 \sum_{k,l \ge k}^{\mathbb{N}-1} |\langle n|e_{k \circlearrowleft} \rangle \langle e_{k \circlearrowleft} | 0 \rangle \langle 0| e_{l \circlearrowleft} \rangle \langle e_{l \circlearrowleft} | n \rangle|
$$
  
 
$$
\times \cos[2\pi(\lambda_k - \lambda_l)t]. \tag{11}
$$

The occupation dynamics of state  $|n\rangle$  implies the frequency set  $\{|\lambda_k - \lambda_l|\}$  ( $k \neq l$ ) with weights associated to the connection of  $|0\rangle$  to  $|n\rangle$  via the eigenstates of  $\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft, RWA}$ . As shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0)(e), the average energy of the particle  $\langle \hat{H} \rangle = \sum_n p_n(t) E_n$ undergoes Rabi-like oscillations. The model also predicts modulations of the RLO amplitude which will be discussed in the next paragraph. Our analysis shows that an estimate of

 $\nu_R$  can be obtained by taking the minimum value of the frequency set  $\{|\lambda_k - \lambda_l|\}$ . For improved precision, the theoretical Rabi-like frequency is extracted from a fit of the first oscillations to an exponentially damped sine function. This approach was checked to give similar results as a direct numerical integration of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

As shown in Fig.  $3(d)$  $3(d)$ , the quantum model describes all the experimental features of the  $\nu_R$  versus  $f_{\mu\nu}$  dependence with  $c = 3.05 \times 10^{-22}$  J V<sup>-1</sup>. The set of experimental data covers the crossover between the two-level and the multilevel dynamics. Indeed, when the  $\mu w$  amplitude is small compared to the anharmonicity  $(\nu_1 \ll \delta)$ , we have  $|e_{0\circ}\rangle$  $=(|0\rangle+|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $|e_{1\circ}\rangle=(|0\rangle-|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , and  $|e_{n\circ}\rangle=|n\rangle$  for the other higher energy levels. The dynamics thus concerns the first two levels and one retrieves the familiar result  $\nu_R = \nu_1$ (see Fig. [4](#page-4-0)). This is nearly the case for the first measured point, which corresponds to  $\nu_1= 65$  MHz and presents a contamination of level  $|2\rangle$  below 10%. When  $\nu_1 \sim \delta$ , the coupling between neighboring levels starts to distort the energy spectrum of the lowest energy levels of  $\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft,\text{RWA}}$  (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)). Consequently, the state  $|e_{2\circ}\rangle$  gets contaminated by  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  and the dynamics involves now three levels. In this regime, the  $\nu_R$  dependence on  $\nu_1$  starts to deviate from a linear behavior, indicating the onset of two-photon processes.<sup>17[,18](#page-7-11)</sup> When  $\nu_1 > \delta$ , an increasing number of levels are involved. As an example, the experimental oscillation presented in Fig.  $2(c)$  $2(c)$  involves four states. At larger  $\mu w$  amplitude, a macroscopic number of levels are involved; this regime was not achieved at this working point but was discussed in Ref. [11](#page-7-5) with about ten levels involved. The multilevel dynamics is characterized by a clear saturation of the  $\nu_R$  dependence, compared to the low power linear behavior.

### *2. Beating and decoherence*

In the absence of dissipation, the quantum model predicts a modulation of the multilevel RLO amplitude. We interpret these phenomena as a reminiscence of the different frequencies involved in the system dynamics. The modulation becomes more and more complex with increasing  $\mu w$  amplitude. Indeed, the higher the amplitude, the larger the number of involved levels and the larger the number of frequencies in the system. A clear beating appears when the frequency set  $\{\vert \lambda_k - \lambda_l \vert\}$  displays two minimal values close to each other.

However, these modulations were not observed in our experiments. We first analyzed the possibility of beating suppression caused by the finite rise time of the  $\mu w$  amplitude. If it is too slow, the population of the states  $|e_{n\hat{p}}\rangle$  for  $n>2$ can be severely decreased as compared to the instantaneous hypothesis. In the limiting case of an adiabatic increase in the  $\mu w$  amplitude, the particle state remains in the  $\{|e_{0\circ}\rangle, |e_{1\circ}\rangle\}$  subspace.  $|\lambda_1-\lambda_0|$  is then the only frequency involved in the system dynamics (see Fig. [4](#page-4-0)) and there is no beating. For a rise time as large as  $2 \text{ ns}$  (twice the experimental rise time), we checked numerically that this effect does not lead to destructive interference strong enough to suppress the beating.

We therefore argue that the strong suppression of the beating phenomenon could be due to decoherence. The dissipa-

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 5. (Color) Quantum *(q)* and classical *(c)* dynamics during the first Rabi period  $T_R$  for increasing excitation amplitudes  $\nu_1$ . Decoherence is neglected in all calculations. Wigner and classical distributions are plotted in the phase space position *x*, conjugate moment *p*). In the Wigner distribution plots, red (blue) corresponds to negative (positive) values. The ticks indicate the position of the origin of the phase space. Negative areas are always intense close to  $t = 0.5T_R$ , when the system experiences fully the nonlinearity of the potential well, highlighting the quantum nature of the corresponding states. Note also the progressive convergence, as  $v_1$  increases, between the principal blue cloud of the Wigner map and the classical prediction.

tive dynamics of the oscillator was investigated using a phenomenological model. We assume that the reduced density matrix of the driven oscillator  $\hat{\rho}$  satisfies the master equation,

$$
i\hbar \partial_t \hat{\rho} = [\hat{H}_{\circlearrowleft, \text{RWA}}, \hat{\rho}] - i\mathcal{L}_R[\hat{\rho}] - i\mathcal{L}_D[\hat{\rho}]. \tag{12}
$$

Here,  $\mathcal{L}_R$  describes relaxation (accompanied by dephasing) and can be expressed as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L}_R[\hat{\rho}]_{kn} = \sum_m \left[ (\gamma_{km} + \gamma_{nm}) \rho_{kn} - 2 \delta_{kn} \gamma_{mk} \rho_{mm} \right]. \tag{13}
$$

The coefficients  $\gamma_{kn}$  can be calculated microscopically in the Markovian limit, assuming the environment is a bath of harmonic oscillators. The last term  $\mathcal{L}_D$  describes the pure dephasing,

$$
\mathcal{L}_D[\hat{\rho}]_{kn} = (1 - \delta_{kn})\Lambda_{kn}\rho_{kn},\tag{14}
$$

with  $\Lambda_{kn} = \Lambda_{nk} \geq 0$ . This term is phenomenological: the coefficients  $\Lambda$  were obtained microscopically only in the twolevel limit. According to our analysis, relaxation alone (cor-

responding to  $T_1 = 60$  ns in the experiment) is too weak to explain a strong suppression of the beating. Instead, the absence of beating can be attributed to strong pure dephasing between next-neighboring levels, described by  $\Lambda_{n,n+2}$ . Indeed, the observed exponential decay of the Rabi-like oscillations with the suppressed beating can be well explained assuming  $\Lambda_{02} \approx h \alpha / \nu_1$ , which becomes relevant for the measured escape probabilities corresponding to the cases of  $\nu_1$  $= 130$  and 260 MHz with  $\alpha = 5 \times 10^6$  GHz<sup>2</sup>.

# **IV. DISCUSSION**

Surprisingly, when  $\nu_1 > 1.5\delta$ , the classical and quantum predictions for the  $\nu_R(\nu_1)$  dependence are quite identical. For the lowest excitation amplitudes meeting this condition, only four levels are involved in the Rabi-like oscillation; the granularity of energy as compared to the mean energy of the system is clearly not negligible. Moreover, this convergence is obtained for weakly damped oscillation, for which moderate relaxation and dephasing do not affect  $\nu_R$ . Thus, this effect is not due to decoherence which would degrade the system quantum dynamics into a classical one. The convergence is linked to the intrinsic physics of a weakly anharmonic oscillator.

To shed some light on the interplay between nonlinearity and the quantum or classical nature of the Rabi-like oscillations, we developed an approach similar to the one presented in Ref. [8.](#page-7-16) We calculated the time evolution of the Wigner function,

$$
W(x, p, t) = \frac{1}{\pi \hbar} \int dx' \langle x + x' | \hat{\rho}(t) | x - x' \rangle e^{-2ipx'/\hbar}, \quad (15)
$$

during one Rabi oscillation, starting from the oscillator ground state  $|0\rangle$ . Here,  $\hat{\rho}$  is the reduced density matrix describing the driven oscillator. For the sake of comparison, we also determined the classical time evolution of the probability density for a Gaussian distribution of initial points in phase space which mimics the distribution associated to the ground state  $|0\rangle$ . Decoherence effects were neglected in both approaches. This analysis highlights the differences in the system dynamics arising from quantum mechanics. Figure [5](#page-5-0) shows the results for three different excitation amplitudes: (i) the lowest excitation amplitude measured in this paper,  $\nu_1$ = 60 MHz, which induces a quasi-two-level oscillation, (ii)  $\nu_1$ =260 MHz, the highest excitation amplitude, and (iii)  $\nu_1$ = 520 MHz, a (nonmeasured) value which generates sevenlevel oscillation, similar to the high excitation results presented in Ref. [11.](#page-7-5)

The red areas in Fig. [5](#page-5-0) correspond to negative values of the Wigner function, an unambiguous signature of the quantum nature of the state under consideration; in the blue regions *W* is positive. As expected for short times (shorter than the so-called Ehrenfest time), the system behaves classically and the positive part of *W* resembles the classical probability distribution, regardless of the value of the microwave ampli-tude, as illustrated in Fig. [5](#page-5-0) for  $t = 0.1T_R$ . For longer times, this resemblance gets lost for small microwave amplitudes. This is to be expected: due to the anharmonicity, the system remains close to the two-level limit for small amplitudes and the time evolution is essentially quantum. For larger amplitudes, the dynamics involves an increasing number of quantum states and according to the correspondence principle should behave more and more classically. Indeed, the time evolution of the blue regions closely follows that of the classical probability distribution in this limit.

Interestingly, though, is the fact that the red regions of negative *W* continue to exist at any value of microwave amplitude. These regions, signaling the occurrence of quantum interferences, appear to be most pronounced for  $t = 0.5T_R$ . A qualitative explanation for this fact can be obtained by considering the time evolution of the anharmonic oscillator, starting from its ground state  $|0\rangle$  at time  $t=0$ . Under the influence of the microwaves, resonant with the transition between the lowest eigenstates, transitions between neighboring levels are possible, and a superposition state is formed involving an increasing number of energy levels. Due to anharmonicity, the detuning increases with increasing level index and the transitions become less resonant. At  $t = 0.5T_R$ , nonlinearity prevents higher energy states from being excited and microwaves lead to a reduction in the system energy. Thus the existence of Rabi-like oscillation is closely linked to the anharmonicity of the potential well and the maximum number of states involved in the superposition is determined by the interplay of the anharmonicity and the microwave amplitude. Such oscillations do not show up in a harmonic oscillator driven by resonant monochromatic excitation. In this last situation, the generated quasiclassical states present minimum fluctuations and are thus very close to classic ones. On the contrary, in the case of an anharmonic oscillator, for times close to  $t = 0.5T_R$ , nonlinearity gives birth to pronounced quantum interferences, and the corresponding Wigner function is characterized by the existence of large negative regions.

Finally, we can remark that the analysis of the Rabi oscillation frequency is one measurement among many possibilities. It appears that this quantity is not very sensitive to the quantum interferences characterizing the extreme state of the Rabi oscillation. To track a quantum signature in the multilevel regime ( $\nu_1 > \delta$ ), one could concentrate on the oscillation beating, a reminiscence of the different transition frequencies in the system. Another appealing perspective would be to extend the state tomography scheme developed in phase qubits $^{24}$  to our multilevel system. Though experimentally challenging, this would open interesting possibilities such as the investigation of the effects of decoherence on the state superposition generated at high excitation power.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, a dc SQUID is a tunable and well-defined anharmonic quantum oscillator which appears as an experimental model system to explore quantum and classical dynamics. The dependence of the Rabi-like oscillation frequency on the  $\mu w$  amplitude is a clear probe of the nature of oscillator dynamics when up to three levels participate in the oscillation. It then exhibits a clear quantum behavior which is not described by a classical model. At higher excitation power, when more than four states are involved in the oscillation, the quantum and classical predictions for this particular quantity converge. This convergence was discussed with the help of Wigner quasidistribution of probability. However, one should not hastily conclude than this nonlinear oscillator behaves classically as soon as four levels are excited. Indeed, our theoretical study shows that for times corresponding to the middle of the Rabi-like oscillation (the generalization of a  $\pi$  pulse), the system always presents strong quantum interferences even in the high power excitation regime. Future work will concentrate on the shape of the oscillations which contains more information on the multilevel dynamics.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank F. Faure, W. Guichard, L. P. Lévy, and A. Ratchov for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by two ACI programs, by IUF and IPMC, and by the EuroSQIP project.

<sup>1</sup>L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics*, 3rd ed. (Pergamon, New York, 1976).

- <span id="page-7-0"></span><sup>2</sup>P. S. Linsay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1349 (1981).
- 3A. Barone and G. Paterno, *Physics and Applications of the* Josephson Effect (Wiley, New York, 1982); K. K. Likharev, *Dynamics of Josephson Junctions and Circuits* Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986).
- <sup>4</sup> I. Siddiqi, R. Vijay, F. Pierre, C. M. Wilson, M. Metcalfe, C. Rigetti, L. Frunzio, and M. H. Devoret, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 207002 (2004).
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>5G. J. Milburn and C. A. Holmes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2237  $(1986).$
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>6M. I. Dykman and V. N. Smelyanski, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **94**, 61  $(1988).$
- <sup>7</sup>D. Enzer and G. Gabrielse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1211 (1997).
- <sup>8</sup> I. Katz, A. Retzker, R. Straub, and Ron Lifshitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 040404 (2007).
- <span id="page-7-16"></span><sup>9</sup>I. Serban and F. K. Wilhelm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 137001 (2007).
- <span id="page-7-3"></span><sup>10</sup> J. M. Martinis, S. Nam, J. Aumentado, and C. Urbina, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 117901 (2002).
- <span id="page-7-4"></span><sup>11</sup> J. Claudon, F. Balestro, F. W. J. Hekking, and O. Buisson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 187003 (2004)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span><span id="page-7-5"></span>12N. Gronbech-Jensen and M. Cirillo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 067001 (2005); J. E. Marchese, M. Cirillo, and N. Gronbech-Jensen, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 174507 (2006).
- <sup>13</sup> J. Lisenfeld, A. Lukashenko, M. Ansmann, J. M. Martinis, and A. V. Ustinov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 170504 (2007).
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>14M. Steffen, J. M. Martinis, and I. L. Chuang, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 224518 (2003).
- <span id="page-7-8"></span><sup>15</sup>M. H. S. Amin, Low Temp. Phys. **32**, 198 (2006).
- <sup>16</sup>F. Meier and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 094519 (2005).
- <span id="page-7-10"></span><span id="page-7-9"></span>17F. W. Strauch, S. K. Dutta, H. Paik, T. A. Palomaki, K. Mitra, B. K. Cooper, R. M. Lewis, J. R. Anderson, A. J. Dragt, C. J. Lobb, and F. C. Wellstood, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. **17**, 105  $(2007).$
- 18S. K. Dutta, F. W. Strauch, R. M. Lewis, K. Mitra, H. Paik, T. A. Palomaki, E. Tiesinga, J. R. Anderson, A. J. Dragt, C. J. Lobb, and F. C. Wellstood, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 104510 (2008).
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>19S. N. Shevchenko, A. N. Omelyanchouk, A. M. Zagoskin, S. Savel'ev, and F. Nori, New J. Phys. **10**, 073026 (2008).
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>20F. Balestro, J. Claudon, J. P. Pekola, and O. Buisson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 158301 (2003).
- <span id="page-7-13"></span><sup>21</sup> J. Claudon, A. Fay, E. Hoskinson, and O. Buisson, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 024508 (2007).
- <span id="page-7-14"></span><sup>22</sup> J. Claudon, A. Fay, L. P. Lévy, and O. Buisson, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 180502(R) (2006).
- <sup>23</sup> J. Claudon, Ph.D. thesis, Université Joseph Fourier, 2005.
- <span id="page-7-17"></span><span id="page-7-15"></span>24M. Steffen, M. Ansmann, R. McDermott, N. Katz, R. C. Bialczak, E. Lucero, M. Neeley, E. M. Weig, A. N. Cleland, and J. M. Martinis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 050502 (2006).